

**Denver County District Court,
State of Colorado**
1437 Bannock Street, Room 256,
Denver, CO 80202

Plaintiff(s): CHRISTOPHER S.P. GREGORY

v.

Defendant(s):

COLORADO OFFICE OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION;

COLORADO STATE COMMISSION OF
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DENVER COUNTY COURT COMMISSION
ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

5TH JUCIDIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DATE FILED
July 22, 2026 3:09 PM
FILING ID: 610E254328679
CASE NUMBER: 2026CV32668

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; KENT WAGNER, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the COLORADO	
--	--

OFFICE OF JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION;

ERIC ARTIS, in his official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

BILL CADMAN, in his official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

JOHN D. DAILEY, in his official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

ZARA DEGROOT, in her official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

CASEY MARTIN, in their official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

KEITH MASSEY, in his official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

THOMAS NEVILLE, in his official capacity as
a member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

ERIC OLSON, in his official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

ERIN PRESSLER, in her official capacity as a
member of the COLORADO STATE

COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE

TANJA WHEELER, in her official capacity as
a member of the COLORADO STATE
COMMISSION OF JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

ROBIN BEATTIE, in her official capacity as a
member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

SEAN CONRECODE, in his official capacity
as a member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

JUDITH GOEKE, in her official capacity as a
member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

JANEL GUERRERO, in her official capacity as
a member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

CHRISTINE JENSEN, in her official capacity
as a member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

SUSAN NICKERSON, in her official capacity
as a member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

MARK RICHTER, in his official capacity as a
member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

LESLIE SCHAEFER, in her official capacity as
a member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

LEAH VARNELL, in her official capacity as a
member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

THOMAS WALSH, in his official capacity as a
member of the 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE;

KENDRA BECKWITH, in her official capacity
as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

CLARENCE BURTON, in his official capacity
as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

FERNANDO GUZMAN, in his official
capacity as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL
DISTRICT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

SIMONE MONTOYA, in her official capacity
as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

GREG MOVESIAN, in his official capacity as
a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

CHRIS REAGEN, in their official capacity as a
member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

JOHN SIMON, in his official capacity as a
member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

ADAM STERN, in his official capacity as a
member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

ELISE TOPLISS, in her official capacity as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

ASHLEY TROXELL, in her official capacity as a member of the 2nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

ANTIONETTE GIFFORD, in her official capacity as a member of the DENVER COUNTY COURT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

RICHARD OTT, in his official capacity as a member of the DENVER COUNTY COURT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

MALLORY REVEL, in her official capacity as a member of the DENVER COUNTY COURT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

MARY BETH SEARLES, in her official capacity as a member of the DENVER COUNTY COURT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

GAYLE YOUNG, in her official capacity as a member of the DENVER COUNTY COURT PERFORMANCE COMMISSION;

CLAUDE APPEL, in his official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ALREDO BURCIAGA, in his official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SHERYL HANISCH, in her official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LOURAE KING, in their official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

AZAREL MADRIGAL, in their official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SISTO MAZA, in his official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LYNETTE ROBINSON BATES, in her official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KERI WILLIAMS, in her official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CAITLIN YOUNG, in her official capacity as a member of the 3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAVID BLANKINSHIP, in his official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SARAH BRITTAIN JACK, in her official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JAMES CARA, in his official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHINETA DAVIS, in her official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ERIC HALL, in his official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

IME LOPEZ, in her official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

AARON SALT, in their official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANNE TURNER, in her official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MURRAY WEINER, in his official capacity as a member of the 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KATHLEEN BRENDZA, in her official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

COURTNEY EDWARDS, in her official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ERIN GIGLIELLO, in her official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CIPRIANO GURROLA NAVARRO, in their official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEFFREY HUNTLEY, in his official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHRISTOPHER TOMCHUCK, in his official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SARAH VAINE, in her official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LISA WEBSTER, in her official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL WHEELER, in his official capacity as a member of the 5TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEAN AARO, in her official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

FRANK BOWMAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MOLLY IMBER, in her official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SEANA LAZUR, in her official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ERIC NABER, in his official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TODD NORVELL, in his official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KENNETH PACE, in his official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANTHONY SAVASTANO, in his official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

AVERY SHELDON, in their official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DEBORAH TULLY, in her official capacity as a member of the 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

QUINN ARCHIBEQUE, in their official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RAYMOND BECK, in his official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANDREA BREINER, in her official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JULIE HUFFMAN, in her official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KATIE LEWINGER, in her official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BO JAMES NERLIN, in his official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ROBERT M. O'HAYRE, in his official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MIKE WATERS, in his official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MARKETA ZUBKOVA, in her official capacity as a member of the 7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DARIN ATTEBERRY, in their official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TAYLOR A. CLAPP, in their official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DANA ENGLEHARDT, in their official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

WILLIAM FLOWERS, in his official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BRIAN HARDOUIN, in his official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KEVIN HAVELDA, in his official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LESLEY OSBORN, in their official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DIANNE PETERSON, in her official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BRAULIO ROJAS, in their official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL RUTTENBERG, in his official capacity as a member of the 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MARY ALBAUGH, in her official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CARRIE FORD, in her official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LINDA MCKINLEY, in her official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

GEORGINA MELBY, in her official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MILTON MENDEZ, in his official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BEN ROSE, in his official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SAMUEL ROSE, in his official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHELLE K. SCHINDLER, in her official capacity as a member of the 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DANA CHARLES, in their official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHRISTY FIDURA, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TRACY GUTIERREZ, in their official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

WESLEY HASSLER, in his official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CINDY LOU JONES, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

VELIA RINCON, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KATE SHAFER, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

STACIE SHIRLEY, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHELLE WISEMAN, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LAURA YOUNG, in her official capacity as a member of the 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEANNA BAITLON, in her official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

PETER HINGA, in his official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; DEREK IRVINE, in his official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; ANNE JEFFERSON, in her official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; LISA KIDWELL, in her official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; ED NORDEN, in his official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; EHREN PENIX, in their official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; MAGDALENA ROSA, in her official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; JIM STOCKBRIDGE, in his official capacity as a member of the 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; GEORGE DINGFELDER, in his official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;	
--	--

JESSE A. GUERRO, in their official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANNE KELLY, in her official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICAH LARSON, in their official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

NICOLE LINDER, in her official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHELSEA MCNERNEY-MARTINEZ, in her official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

AVA PAULA MEDINA, in her official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

REBECCA RIAN, in her official capacity as a member of the 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL BOYER, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAVID BUTE, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEFFREY CURE, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JAKE HETTINGER, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DEAN JARRETT, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BRIAN LANDRY, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

GREG LARSON, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JARROD MUNGER, in his official capacity as a member of the 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEFF COMSTOCK, in his official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

NATASCHA DREKONJA, in her official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RYAN DOUGHERTY, in his official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ZACHARIAH FALCONER-STOUT, in his official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CASEY QUILLEN, in their official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MAX SALAZAR, in his official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

T. WRIGHT DICKINSON, in their official capacity as a member of the 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

VICKI ALLEN, in her official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

HEATHER NICOLE GIOFFREDI, in her official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANDREW HAYDEN, in his official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

NORA LINDHOLM, in her official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JENNIFER MORTIMEYER, in her official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JACOB PETERSON, in his official capacity as a member of the 15TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MADELINE ALMOND, in her official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JAMES BULLOCK, in his official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ALEX EGRI, in his official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RANDY FREED, in his official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHELLE GARDNER, in her official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LINDSEY HART, in her official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CRIS MENZ, in their official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DONNA ROHDE, in her official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CAITLIN YOUNG, in her official capacity as a member of the 16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

HARLAN BOCKMAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

EMILY FLEISHMANN, in her official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KARL HANSEN, in his official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SARA HAIMIDI, in her official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

PENNY PLATNICK, in her official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEFFREY C. RUEBEL, in his official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LOGAN SOUDER, in their official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JACQUELYN D. STANTON, in her official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHELLE STERMER, in her official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DEAN TODA, in his official capacity as a member of the 17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAN DEASY, in his official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

REGINA EDMONDSON, in her official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TORRY GARLAND, in their official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHARLES GILFORD, in his official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RICHARD HOLT, in his official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANNIE OLLADA, in her official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DON SHEEHAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LINDA SUNSHINE, in her official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

LEANNE WHEELER, in her official capacity as a member of the 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TOMMY BUTLER, in his official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANTHEA L. CARRASCO, in her official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ROBERT CASEY, in his official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TATE COSTIN, in her official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KIRSTEN DANIEL, in her official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ASHLEY GREENE, in her official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ALICIA JOHNSON, in her official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SYUKAR MANAF, in their official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

THOMAS ROCHE, in his official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

STEVE WRENN, in his official capacity as a member of the 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ELIZABETH CALLAHAN, in her official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CHARLIE DANAHER, in their official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RENEE E. EZER, in her official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

RACHEL FRIEND, in her official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JENNIFER GEIGER, in her official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

D.D. MALLARD, in her official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MARK MILLIMAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL SCHREINER, in his official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ANDREW SHOEMAKER, in his official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SAM WEAVER, in their official capacity as a member of the 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

TRACY BADGER, in their official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MATTHEW BREMAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAMON DAVIS, in his official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

WILLIAM S. DEFORD, in his official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

CINDY ENOS-MARTINEZ, in her official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

BEAU FLORES, in his official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

KEN HAPTONSTALL, in her official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

ROSE MOGLIA, in her official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DIANE SCHWENKE, in her official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAVID A. YOUNGER, in his official capacity as a member of the 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DREW P. BUFFINGTON, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL COSTEN, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHAEL J. DOYLE, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JON L. KELLY, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

VALERIE MAEZ, in her official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SALLY PLANALP, in her official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

SHAK POWERS, in their official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JEREMY REED, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DREW RICHMAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 22ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

JANE COX, in her official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

MICHELLE FIX-WESTFALL, in her official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

PAUL KING, in his official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

DAN MCMILLAN, in his official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE;

AARON PAUL, in their official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; JOSEFINA RAPHEAL-MILLINER, in her official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; WILLIAM SMITH, in his official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE; and KIM TURNAGE, in their official capacity as a member of the 23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE.	
Counsel for Chirstopher S.P. Gregory: The Gregory Law Firm, LLC Christopher S.P. Gregory, esq. 201 Coffman St., #1822 Longmont, CO 80502 Phone: (970) 648-0642 Fax: (970) 648-0643 E-Mail: cspgregory@thegregorylawfirm.net Atty. Reg. # 37095 Law Office of Ingrid J. DeFranco, PLLC Ingrid J. DeFranco, esq. P.O. Box 128 Brighton, CO 80601 Telephone: (303) 641-6812 Email: Ingrid.DeFranco@gmail.com Atty. Reg. # 31206	Case No: Division:
VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, AND/OR OTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER C.R.C.P. 106; EXHIBIT 1	

Introduction

1. This is a civil and equitable action brought pursuant to §§ 13-5.5-101, et seq., C.R.S. and C.R.C.P. 106. Specifically, this action seeks relief in the form of mandamus requiring the Colorado Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation (the COJPE), its Executive Director Kent Wagner, the various Colorado Judicial Performance Commissions, and the individual Commissioners to perform their mandatory, non-discretionary, duties of continuously reviewing the integrity of Colorado state court judges.¹ Plaintiff also seeks relief in the form of prohibition to enjoin the Defendants

¹ § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. is mandatory in its language:

(1) The state commission and each district commission ***shall evaluate each justice and judge in Colorado*** utilizing the powers and duties conferred upon each commission in section 13-5.5-105. The evaluations ***must only include*** the following performance evaluation criteria:

(a) Integrity, including but not limited to whether the justice or judge:

(I) Avoids impropriety or the appearance of impropriety;

(II) Displays fairness and impartiality toward all participants; and

(III) Avoids ex parte communications[.]

In the context of judicial conduct, the term “integrity” has special meaning and is defined by the Colorado Code of Judicial Conduct (“the Code”) as:

“Integrity” means probity, fairness, honesty, uprightness, and soundness of character. See Canon 1 and Rule 1.2.

Canon Rule 1.1(A), in turn, provides: “A judge shall comply with the law,* including the Code of Judicial Conduct.” Canon Rule 1.2, likewise, provides: “A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence,* integrity,* and impartiality* of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.”

According to the Code, **“Impropriety”** includes conduct that violates the law, court rules, or provisions of this Code, and conduct that undermines a judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality. See Canon 1 and Rule 1.2.” Comment 5 to Canon Rule 1.2 further explains:

from publishing incomplete and/or misleading judicial evaluation reports, which do not meaningfully examine the integrity of the judges subject to retention in the 2026 general election. Because of conflicts of interest that exist through and within the Colorado Attorney General's Office, the Colorado Supreme Court, and the Colorado Governor's Office, this action seeks further relief in the form of mandamus requiring the Defendants to directly appoint conflict-free counsel from outside the Colorado Attorney's Office. According to § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S.², and because of Chief Justice

Impropriety occurs when the conduct compromises the ability of the judge to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence. Actual improprieties include violations of law, court rules or provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge violated this Code or engaged in other conduct that reflects adversely on the judge's honesty, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge.

² § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S. provides:

Whenever the attorney general is unable, has failed, or refuses to provide legal services to a state agency, as determined by the governor if the agency is in the executive branch, or by the chief justice if the agency is in the judicial branch, or by the state auditor if the agency is the office of the state auditor, the agency may employ counsel of its choosing to provide such legal services. Any expense incurred due to the employment of counsel pursuant to this subsection (5) is a lawful charge against appropriations for this purpose made by the general assembly to the department of law.

§ 24-31-111(6)(b), C.R.S. clarifies that:

“State agency” means any department, division, section, unit, office, officer, commission, board, institution, institution of higher education, or other agency of the executive department and judicial department of state government. “State agency” does not mean the legislative department except for the state auditor in accordance with section 2-3-104.5.

Monica Márquez’s own obligation to recuse herself, the Defendants (individually and collectively) have authority to directly appoint their own conflict-free counsel paid through funds already appropriated to the Colorado Department of Law.

2. The twenty-five Colorado Judicial Performance Commissions and the Colorado Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation exist through the Colorado General Assembly’s intent to provide: “(a) A comprehensive evaluation system of judicial performance, (b) Information to the people of Colorado regarding the performance of judges and justices throughout the state; and (c) Transparency and accountability for judges and justices throughout the state of Colorado.” § 13-5.5-101(1), C.R.S.
3. During the 2024 judicial election cycle, the Colorado Judicial Performance Commissions were individually and collectively in dereliction of their respective duties to examine, continuously, the integrity of every Colorado state court judge. Following the 2024 general election, the Colorado Judicial Performance Commissions have, accordingly, remained in dereliction, by refusing to conduct the initial and/or interim judicial evaluations necessary to scrutinize the integrity of those Colorado state court judges identified as having failed to submit personal financial disclosure statements, as having engaged in a pattern of retaliation, and/or as having been part of the well-documented more than 25-year ongoing Colorado Judicial Scandal. The Commissions’ 2026 retention cycle judicial evaluation reports will be published on the COJPE’s website on August 4, 2026 (the day after judicial “declaration(s) to stand for retention” are due to the Colorado Secretary of State). It is reasonably anticipated that none of these judicial evaluation reports will include meaningful examination of the subject judges’ “integrity” as mandated under § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. It is also reasonably

anticipated that, consistent with past practices and the process defined by § 13-5.5-108, C.R.S., the COJPE will not publish evaluation reports for those judges and justices who decline to seek retention.

4. Colorado has three mechanisms for judicial oversight:
 - 1) The judicial discipline system created through Colo. Const. Art. VI, § 23(3),
 - 2) The General Assembly's impeachment authority recognized through Colo. Const. Art. XIII, and
 - 3) The requirement of judicial retention elections with voters making informed decisions through the recommendations of the judicial performance commissions.

Systemic corruption and partisan motivations have rendered the first two of these oversight mechanisms useless. Forcing the meaningful application of the third mechanism is the basis for this C.R.C.P. 106 action.

Jurisdiction and Parties

5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to § 13-1-124, C.R.S., C.R.C.P. 65, and C.R.C.P. 106, as this is a civil action seeking relief in the nature of mandamus, prohibition, and injunction(s) against state agencies and state officials for acts and omissions alleged to have occurred in the State of Colorado, and the relief sought is within the equitable and legal powers of the Denver District Court. Colorado district courts are courts of general jurisdiction empowered to grant legal and equitable remedies, including relief in the nature of mandamus and prohibition, under the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure and Colorado statutes. This Court's subject matter

jurisdiction over the final actions of Defendant Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance is further statutorily recognized through § 13-5.5-116, C.R.S.

6. Plaintiff Christopher Gregory is a former member, Vice-Chair, Chair, and Executive Director of the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline (the CCJD) as well as a citizen of the State of Colorado. Plaintiff is also the Plaintiff / Relator in *United States ex rel. Gregory and the State of Colo. ex rel. Gregory v. Masias, et al.*, 1:25-cv-00190-KHV-GEB (D.Colo.). Subsequently, Plaintiff has filed a second federal action, *Gregory v. Colo. Jud. Discipline Rulemaking Comm., et al.*, 1:25-cv-03361-KHV-GEB (D. Colo.). Seeking a legitimate and independent investigation through conflict-free law enforcement and the appointment of another state's attorney general as a Special Assistant Attorney General (SAAG), Plaintiff has also filed a separate pending C.R.C.P. 106 action against Colorado State Auditor Kerri Hunter in this Court. *Gregory v. Hunter*, Denver Dist. Ct. Case No. 26CV31374.
7. Defendant Colorado Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation ("the COJPE") is a statutorily-created state agency authorized by § 13-5.5-103, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203. The COJPE provides administration for the various judicial performance commissions statewide, which share the COJPE's principal office and place of business as relevant to service of process.
8. Defendant Kent Wagner is the appointed Executive Director of the COJPE, whose principal office and place of business is located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 210, Denver, CO 80203. Kent Wagner is a Colorado resident and citizen.

9. Defendant Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
10. Defendant 1st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
11. Defendant 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
12. Defendant Denver County Court Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. and appointed through the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
13. Defendant 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
14. Defendant 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
15. Defendant 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.

16. Defendant 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
17. Defendant 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
18. Defendant 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
19. Defendant 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
20. Defendant 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
21. Defendant 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
22. Defendant 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.

23. Defendant 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
24. Defendant 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
25. Defendant 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
26. Defendant 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
27. Defendant 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
28. Defendant 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
29. Defendant 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.

30. Defendant 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
31. Defendant 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
32. Defendant 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
33. Defendant 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance is a statutorily-created state-level commission authorized by § 13-5.5-104, C.R.S. with its principal office and place of business located at 1300 Broadway, Suite 220, Denver, CO 80203.
34. Defendant Eric Artis is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
35. Defendant Bill Cadman is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
36. Defendant John D. Dailey is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
37. Defendant Zara DeGroot is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
38. Defendant Casey Martin is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

39. Defendant Keith Massey is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
40. Defendant Thomas Neville is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
41. Defendant Eric Olson is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
42. Defendant Erin Pressler is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
43. Defendant Tanja Wheeler is an appointed member of the Colorado State Commission of Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
44. Defendant Robin Beattie is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
45. Defendant Sean Conrecode is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
46. Defendant Judith Goeke is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
47. Defendant Janel Guerrero is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
48. Defendant Christine Jensen is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
49. Defendant Susan Nickerson is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

50. Defendant Mark Richter is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
51. Defendant Leslie Schaefer is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
52. Defendant Leah Varnell is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
53. Defendant Thomas Walsh is an appointed member of the Colorado 1st Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
54. Defendant Kendra Beckwith is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
55. Defendant Clarence Burton is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
56. Defendant Fernando Guzman is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
57. Defendant Simone Montoya is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
58. Defendant Greg Movesian is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

59. Defendant Chris Reagen is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
60. Defendant John Simon is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
61. Defendant Adam Stern is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
62. Defendant Elise Topliss is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
63. Defendant Ashley Troxell is an appointed member of the Colorado 2nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
64. Defendant Antionette Gifford is an appointed member of the Denver County Court Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
65. Defendant Richard Ott is an appointed member of the Denver County Court Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
66. Defendant Mallory Revel is an appointed member of the Denver County Court Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
67. Defendant Mary Beth Searles is an appointed member of the Denver County Court Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
68. Defendant Gayle Young is an appointed member of the Denver County Court Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
69. Defendant Claude Appel is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

70. Defendant Alfredo Burciaga is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
71. Defendant Sheryl Hanisch is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
72. Defendant Lourae King is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
73. Defendant Azarel Madrigal is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
74. Defendant Sisto Maza is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
75. Defendant Lynette Robinson Bates is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
76. Defendant Keri Williams is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
77. Defendant Caitlin Young is an appointed member of the Colorado 3rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
78. Defendant David Blankinship is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

79. Defendant Sarah Brittain Jack is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
80. Defendant James Cara is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
81. Defendant Chineta Davis is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
82. Defendant Eric Hall is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
83. Defendant Ime Lopez is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
84. Defendant Aaron Salt is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
85. Defendant Anne Turner is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
86. Defendant Murray Weiner is an appointed member of the Colorado 4th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
87. Defendant Kathleen Brendza is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

88. Defendant Courtney Edwards is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
89. Defendant Erin Gigliello is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
90. Defendant Cipriano Gurrola Navarro is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
91. Defendant Jeffrey Huntley is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
92. Defendant Christopher Tomchuck is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
93. Defendant Sarah Vaine is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
94. Defendant Lisa Webster is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
95. Defendant Michael Wheeler is an appointed member of the Colorado 5th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
96. Defendant Jean Aaro is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

97. Defendant Frank Bowman is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
98. Defendant Molly Imber is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
99. Defendant Seana Lazur is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
100. Defendant Eric Naber is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
101. Defendant Todd Norvell is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
102. Defendant Kenneth Pace is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
103. Defendant Anthony Savastano is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
104. Defendant Avery Sheldon is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
105. Defendant Deborah Tully is an appointed member of the Colorado 6th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
106. Defendant Quinn Archibeque is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

107. Defendant Raymond Beck is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
108. Defendant Andrea Breiner is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
109. Defendant Julie Huffman is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
110. Defendant Katie Lewinger is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
111. Defendant Bo James Nerlin is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
112. Defendant Robert M. O'Hayre is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
113. Defendant Mike Waters is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
114. Defendant Marketa Zubkova is an appointed member of the Colorado 7th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

115. Defendant Darin Atteberry is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
116. Defendant Taylor A. Clapp is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
117. Defendant Dana Englehardt is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
118. Defendant William Flowers is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
119. Defendant Brian Hardouin is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
120. Defendant Kevin Havelde is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
121. Defendant Lesley Osborn is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
122. Defendant Dianne Peterson is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

123. Defendant Braulio Rojas is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
124. Defendant Michael Ruttenberg is an appointed member of the Colorado 8th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
125. Defendant Mary Albaugh is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
126. Defendant Carrie Ford is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
127. Defendant Linda McKinley is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
128. Defendant Georgina Melby is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
129. Defendant Milton Mendez is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
130. Defendant Ben Rose is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
131. Defendant Samuel Rose is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

132. Defendant Michelle K. Schindler is an appointed member of the Colorado 9th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
133. Defendant Dana Charles is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
134. Defendant Christy Fidura is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
135. Defendant Tracy Gutierrez is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
136. Defendant Wesley Hassler is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
137. Defendant Cindy Lou Jones is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
138. Defendant Velia Rincon is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
139. Defendant Kate Shafer is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
140. Defendant Stacie Shirley is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

141. Defendant Michelle Wiseman is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
142. Defendant Laura Young is an appointed member of the Colorado 10th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
143. Defendant Jeanna Baitlon is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
144. Defendant Peter Hinga is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
145. Defendant Derek Irvine is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
146. Defendant Anne Jefferson is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
147. Defendant Lisa Kidwell is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
148. Defendant Ed Norden is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
149. Defendant Ehren Penix is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

150. Defendant Magdalena Rosa is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
151. Defendant Jim Stockbridge is an appointed member of the Colorado 11th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
152. Defendant George Dingfelder is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
153. Defendant Jesse A. Guerro is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
154. Defendant Anne Kelly is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
155. Defendant Micah Larson is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
156. Defendant Nicole Linder is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
157. Defendant Chelsea McNerney-Martinez is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

158. Defendant Ava Paula Medina is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
159. Defendant Rebecca Rian is an appointed member of the Colorado 12th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
160. Defendant Michael Boyer is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
161. Defendant David Bute is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
162. Defendant Jeffrey Cure is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
163. Defendant Jake Hettinger is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
164. Defendant Dean Jarrett is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
165. Defendant Brian Landry is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
166. Defendant Greg Larson is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

167. Defendant Jarrod Munger is an appointed member of the Colorado 13th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
168. Defendant Jeff Comstock is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
169. Defendant Natascha Drekonja is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
170. Defendant Ryan Dougherty is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
171. Defendant Zachariah Falconer-Stout is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
172. Defendant Casey Quillen is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
173. Defendant Max Salazar is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
174. Defendant T. Wright Dickinson is an appointed member of the Colorado 14th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

175. Defendant Vicki Allen is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
176. Defendant Heather Nicole Gioffredi is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
177. Defendant Andrew Hayden is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
178. Defendant Nora Lindholm is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
179. Defendant Jennifer Mortimeyer is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
180. Defendant Jacob Peterson is an appointed member of the Colorado 15th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
181. Defendant Madeline Almond is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
182. Defendant James Bullock is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

183. Defendant Alex Egri is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
184. Defendant Randy Freed is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
185. Defendant Michelle Gardner is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
186. Defendant Lindsey Hart is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
187. Defendant Chris Menz is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
188. Defendant Donna Rohde is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
189. Defendant Caitlin Young is an appointed member of the Colorado 16th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
190. Defendant Harlan Bockman is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen. Harlan Bockman's daughter, Amy Bockman, was a Broomfield County Court Judge and is currently the Presiding Broomfield Municipal Court Judge. With the Broomfield Municipal Court being a court of record, Amy Bockman is one of numerous judges implicated in having violated § 24-6-202, C.R.S. and Canon Rules 1.1, 1.2, 2.5, and 3.15 of the Code by failing to file required annual personal financial

disclosures. It is unknown whether Amy Bockman also currently serves in the senior judge program.

191. Defendant Emily Fleishmann is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
192. Defendant Karl Hansen is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
193. Defendant Sara Himidi is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
194. Defendant Penny Platnick is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
195. Defendant Jeffrey C. Ruebel is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
196. Defendant Logan Souder is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
197. Defendant Jacquelyn D. Stanton is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
198. Defendant Michelle Stermer is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

199. Defendant Dean Toda is an appointed member of the Colorado 17th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
200. Defendant Dan Deasy is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
201. Defendant Regina Edmondson is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
202. Defendant Torry Garland is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
203. Defendant Charles Gilford is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
204. Defendant Richard Holt is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
205. Defendant Annie Ollada is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
206. Defendant Don Sheehan is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
207. Defendant Linda Sunshine is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

208. Defendant LeAnne Wheeler is an appointed member of the Colorado 18th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
209. Defendant Tommy Butler is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
210. Defendant Anthea L. Carrasco is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
211. Defendant Robert Casey is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
212. Defendant Tate Costin is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
213. Defendant Kirsten Daniel is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
214. Defendant Ashley Green is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
215. Defendant Alicia Johnson is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

216. Defendant Syukar Manaf is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
217. Defendant Thomas Roche is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
218. Defendant Steve Wrenn is an appointed member of the Colorado 19th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
219. Defendant Elizabeth Callahan is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
220. Defendant Charlie Danaher is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
221. Defendant Renee E. Ezer is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
222. Defendant Rachel Friend is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
223. Defendant Jennifer Geiger is an appointed member of the 20th Colorado Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
224. Defendant D.D. Mallard is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

225. Defendant Mark Milliman is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
226. Defendant Michael Schreiner is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
227. Defendant Andrew Schoemaker is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
228. Defendant Sam Weaver is an appointed member of the Colorado 20th Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
229. Defendant Tracy Badger is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
230. Defendant Matthew Breman is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
231. Defendant William S. Deford is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
232. Defendant Cindy Enos-Martinez is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

233. Defendant Beau Flores is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
234. Defendant Ken Haptonstall is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
235. Defendant Rose Moglia is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
236. Defendant Diane Schwenke is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
237. Defendant David A. Younger is an appointed member of the Colorado 21st Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
238. Defendant Drew P. Buffington is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
239. Defendant Michael Costen is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
240. Defendant Michael J. Doyle is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.

241. Defendant Jon L. Kelly is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
242. Defendant Valerie Maez is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
243. Defendant Sally Planalp is an appointed member of the 22nd Colorado Judicial District Performance Commission, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
244. Defendant Shak Powers is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
245. Defendant Jeremy Reed is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
246. Defendant Drew Richman is an appointed member of the Colorado 22nd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
247. Defendant Jane Cox is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen. It is Plaintiff's understanding that Jane Cox represents Montezuma County Court Judge Ian MacLaren in pending judicial discipline proceedings despite conflicts of interest and appearances of impropriety that Plaintiff has raised in those proceedings and through a separate request for evaluation (RFE) of judicial conduct filed against Judge MacLaren. *Matter of MacLaren*, CCJD Case No. 24-176. The proceedings in *MacLaren*, however, are selectively occurring in secret and in violation of Colo. Const. Art. VI, § 23(3)(g)(I) (requiring formal disciplinary proceedings to be public proceedings).

248. Defendant Michelle Fix-Westfall is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
249. Defendant Paul King is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
250. Defendant Dan McMillan is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
251. Defendant Aaron Paul is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
252. Defendant Josefina Rapheal-Milliner is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
253. Defendant William Smith is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
254. Defendant Kim Turnage is an appointed member of the Colorado 23rd Judicial District Commission on Judicial Performance, a Colorado resident, and a Colorado citizen.
255. Venue for this civil action is proper in this District under Rules 98(b)(2) and (c)(1) of the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure and under § 24-6-402(9)(b), C.R.S. More specifically, venue is proper in this Court, the District Court for Denver County, because the Defendants are state officials and agencies whose principal office is located in Denver County, Colorado, and a substantial part of the events or omissions

giving rise to the claims occurred in this county. The acts and omissions challenged in this action—including the refusal and/or failure to continuously evaluate the integrity of all Colorado state court judges—occurred in Denver County, where the COJPE is located and where the relevant state government functions are administered.

Statement of Facts

256. Through litigation of *United States and State of Colo. ex rel. Gregory, et al. v. Masias, et al.*, 1:25-cv-00190-KHV-GEB (D. Colo.) (Sealed), *Gregory v. Colo. Jud. Discipline Rulemaking Comm., et al.*, 1: 25-cv-03361-KHV-GEB (D. Colo.), *Gregory v. Hunter*, Denver Dist. Ct. Case No. 26CV31374, and a thoroughly-developed legislative record,³ Plaintiff has exposed a more than 25-year ongoing pattern of taxpayer-funded intimidation, retaliation, hush money contracts, self-controlled investigations, and public relations schemes within Colorado State Government. This ongoing pattern of systemic public fraud and public corruption emanating from the Colorado Supreme Court perpetuates an enforced culture of silence engineered to cover up serious

³ The extensive and publicly accessible legislative record Plaintiff has created can be found through the following legislative hearings and website links:

- January 21, 2026 SMART Act Hearing for the Colorado Judicial Department and the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline-- <https://coleg.box.com/s/rjeotmshfl64jgs4d1sxxw1424873wghl>.
- February 9, 2026 Senate Judiciary Committee Confirmation Hearing for former Senator Bob Gardner to the CCJD-- <https://coleg.box.com/s/qqx86tp9q3lnfw7u5vfothh9cor3579f>.
- February 11, 2026 Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing on SB26-084-- <https://coleg.box.com/s/qqx86tp9q3lnfw7u5vfothh9cor3579f>.
- March 12, 2026 House State, Civic, Military, and Veterans Affairs Committee Hearing on SB26-084--https://leg.colorado.gov/summary_attachments/44862/download.
- May 4, 2026 House State, Civic, Military, and Veterans Affairs Committee Hearing on HJR26-1029-- <https://coleg.box.com/s/j8z8y4vfumdksla6dic0nd42zxw56tj> (also containing a fully functional PDF of the written testimony submitted at the March 12, 2026 hearing with bookmark navigation).

judicial, attorney, and official misconduct. As is relevant to the relief requested in this Verified Complaint, Plaintiff incorporates his filings in *Ex rel. Gregory*, in *Gregory*, and in *Gregory v. Hunter* by reference and subject to supplementation through prospective filing into this case. Plaintiff further requests that this Court take judicial notice of the contents of the court and legislative records through CRE 201.

257. The greater-than 25-year ongoing Colorado Judicial Scandal traces back to at least the early 2000s, when then-Chief Justice Mary Mullarkey willfully manipulated the judicial discipline process, the attorney discipline process, and administrative oversight through the State Court Administrator's Office (SCAO) to excuse and/or conceal then-3rd Judicial District Court Chief Judge Jesse Manzanares's efforts to libel his fellow District Judge (and a defendant in this action) Claude Appel through the dissemination of FBI records in violation of the federal Privacy Act. Chief Judge Manzanares was removed as Chief Judge but allowed to quietly retire without any judicial or attorney discipline. Judge Manzanares was allowed to continue practicing law despite having assisted others in fabricating evidence that Judge Appel had been part of a cocaine ring. Judge Appel sued the FBI for violation of the Privacy Act and, ultimately, received a \$100,000 settlement.
258. In 2007, Chief Justice Mullarkey's misconduct continued when she (through her direct supervision of the SCAO) sought to abort the investigation and prosecution of Chief Judge Manzanares's nephew, Larry Manzanares, at its outset. Larry Manzanares retired as a Denver District Court Judge in December 2006 to become the Denver City Attorney. Shortly after starting his new job in January 2007, a reportedly-stolen Judicial Department laptop was traced back to Manzanares's home. When Denver police

officers confronted Manzanares, he denied having possession of the laptop. The following morning, however, Manzanares returned the laptop to the Denver District Court Administrator. Larry Manzanares further provided an interview with Denver⁷ investigative reporter Tony Kovaleski in which Manzanares claimed he had purchased the laptop from a man in a parking lot near the Denver District Courthouse and Denver City Hall. Instead of immediately turning the laptop over to law enforcement, SCAO attempted to inspect its contents. As the 2nd Judicial District Attorney's Office contemplated prosecution, SCAO Judicial Counsel Carol Haller requested dismissal. The 2nd Judicial Attorney's Office recused itself and the 1st Judicial District Attorney's Office was appointed as a special prosecutor. Then-Judge Brian Boatright's brother, Russell Boatright, was assigned as the lead investigator through the 1st Judicial District Attorney's Office. A forensic examination of the laptop revealed that Manzanares had deleted thousands of pornographic images (including images that Russell Boatright recognized as "age questionable" and which he submitted for comparison with the national known child pornography database). Larry Manzanares was charged with three felonies based upon an 80-page affidavit submitted by Russell Boatright. Hours after his initial appearance on bond, Manzanares went to Eisenhower Park in Denver and shot himself in the right temple with a revolver. Manzanares's suicide was then blamed upon a racially-motivated prosecution, and Chief Justice Mullarkey's conduct in seeking to abort the prosecution at its outset was never publicly scrutinized (through the judicial discipline process, the judicial retention process, or otherwise). Justice Boatright and many other Colorado judges (including all the then-Colorado Supreme Court Justices) were aware of Chief Justice Mullarkey's obstruction of justice but did

nothing to report or take appropriate action as required by Canon Rules 1.1, 1.2, and 2.15⁴ and Colo. RPC 8.3 and 8.4(f)). The 1st Judicial District Attorney's Office has

⁴ It should be emphasized that the non-reporting of judicial and attorney misconduct is itself a violation of the Code and "impropriety" within the Code's definition. Canon Rule 2.15 provides:

(A) A judge having knowledge* that another judge has committed a violation of this Code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.*

(B) A judge having knowledge that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question regarding the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.

(C) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this Code shall take appropriate action.

(D) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct shall take appropriate action.

The importance of reporting and self-reporting is further emphasized through the comments to Canon Rule 2.15, which state:

[1] Public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary is promoted when judges take appropriate action based on reliable evidence of misconduct. Appropriate action depends on the circumstances, but the overarching goal of such action should be to prevent harm to those affected by misconduct and to prevent recurrence.

[2] Taking action to address known misconduct is a judge's obligation. Paragraphs (A) and (B) impose an obligation on the judge to report to the appropriate disciplinary authority the known misconduct of another judge or a lawyer that raises a substantial question regarding the honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness of that judge or lawyer. **Ignoring or denying known misconduct among one's judicial colleagues or members of the legal profession undermines a judge's responsibility to participate in efforts to ensure public respect for the justice system.** This Rule limits the

responded to Plaintiff's request for its case file (which was previously produced to the *Denver Post* presumably without charge) by demanding \$1,000 and reserving the right to apply redactions. Plaintiff is currently litigating the re-production of these public records through a subpoena duces tecum issued in *Gregory v. Hunter*.

259. On December 31, 2008, the CCJD's long-time Executive Director Rick Wehmhoefer was abruptly fired, and SCAO HR Director Mindy Masias and HR Deputy Director Eric Brown were assigned to administer the CCJD pending the hiring of Plaintiff's predecessor, William Campbell. While running the CCJD, Masias and Brown had unfettered access to the CCJD's records, including the opportunity to destroy those records. Plaintiff suspects that destruction of records relating to the Appel-Haeger-Manzanares controversy and the Larry Manzanares case was the core motivation for the Justices of the Colorado Supreme Court later collectively approving the \$2.66-\$2.75 million Masias hush money contract that was to be paid with taxpayer funds.

reporting obligation to those offenses that an independent judiciary must vigorously endeavor to prevent.

[3] A judge who does not have actual knowledge that another judge or a lawyer may have committed misconduct, but receives information indicating a substantial likelihood of such misconduct, is required to take appropriate action under paragraphs (C) and (D). Appropriate action may include, but is not limited to, communicating directly with the judge who may have violated this Code, communicating with a supervising judge, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body. Similarly, actions to be taken in response to information indicating that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct may include but are not limited to communicating directly with the lawyer who may have committed the violation, or reporting the suspected violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body. (Emphasis added).

260. In July 2018, SCAO Controller Myra Dukes reported concerns that then-SCAO Chief of Staff Mindy Masias may have altered receipts when submitting a personal reimbursement request. Following both an internal and an external investigation, State Court Administrator Christopher Ryan issued a November 7, 2018 memorandum notifying Masias of her employment being terminated due to her dishonesty and impacts upon the federally required continuous, ongoing single statewide audit. Rather than accept her termination, Masias activated FMLA leave and began negotiating severance to include a multi-million dollar, no-bid, leadership services contract. Through then-SCAO HR Director Eric Brown, Masias presented a memorandum (the Masias Memo) which essentially raised an ultimatum—you cannot fire me when you have previously directed me to cover up worse misconduct and to destroy records. The negotiations were then executed through a rigged bidding process and administrative authorization of a sole-source contract. The 5-year \$2.66-\$2.75 million contract would have paid Masias approximately three-times the individual salaries of the Justices. The Masias Contract was pre-conditioned upon Masias signing a separation agreement through which she retained salary and benefits paid during her FMLA leave in exchange for a non-disclosure agreement. The Masias Contract was initially executed on April 11, 2019, before an anonymous Fraud Hotline complaint was personally sent to and received by each of the Justices, Attorney General Phil Weiser, and Governor Jared Polis. When State Auditor Dianne Ray contacted Chief Justice Nathan B. Coats to request direction on how the Colorado Supreme Court would respond to the anonymous Fraud Hotline report, Chief Justice Coats did not disclose the negotiation of the Masias Contract or the existence of the Masias Memo. Indeed, the Justices

collectively authorized the re-execution of the Masias Contract on June 3, 2019 without informing State Auditor Ray. When the Masias Contract was discovered by investigative reporter David Migoya, the Justices responded by cancelling the contract and forcing the resignations of State Court Administrator Christopher Ryan and SCAO HR Director Eric Brown. Following an FBI investigation being opened and the issuance of a performance audit report for the SCAO in late 2020, Ryan came forward to publicly disclose the existence of the Masias Memo.

261. As Plaintiff has explained in his federal attorney misconduct complaints filed against the Justices, Court of Appeals Judge Grant Sullivan, and Attorney General Weiser, the concealment of negotiations and of the Masias Memo's existence for more than 2 ½ years is the keystone of the Masias Controversy. The Justices, assisted by others, knowingly and willfully concealed material information from State Auditor Dianne Ray as she performed a federally-required audit and from the CCJD (which Plaintiff chaired at the time). If viewed objectively, the Justices, Governor Polis, Attorney General Weiser, Judge Sullivan, and their other co-conspirators have committed multiple federal felony-level crimes. The facts involved in the Masias Controversy are largely admitted to and proven in *Matter of Coats*, 2023 CO 44.

262. In May 2019, Plaintiff was Vice-Chair of the CCJD when a complaint was brought against the CCJD's Chair, Adams County Court Judge Leroy Kirby. Judge Kirby, in turn, resigned from the CCJD and was disciplined with a private censure, as follows:

A private censure was issued to a judge who promoted extensive drinking at a conference hotel among court staff which led to a consensual ***sexual relationship with a staff member*** that continued ***at the courthouse***. After the intimate relationship ended, the judge and the employee had an increasingly stressful employment relationship which ultimately resulted in the employee reporting

the situation to the Commission and being reassigned to different duties. The judge's conduct violated Canon Rule 1.2, creating an appearance of impropriety among court staff; Canon Rule 3.1(A), interfering with proper performance of judicial duties as a delegate to the conference; Canon Rule 3.1(C), conduct undermining the integrity of a judge at the conference; and Canon Rule 3.1(E), improper use of court facilities. The impact on the work environment was addressed by the private censure of the judge's conduct and an order for the judge to seek counselling about his behavior. Colo. Comm. on Jud. Discipline, 2019 ANNUAL REPORT, p. 13 (Emphasis added).⁵

263. Judge Kirby's identity and conduct would have remained private had he not committed further misconduct by being identified as one of fifty-six judges who the *Denver Gazette* reported had failed to file mandatory personal financial disclosure (PFD) statements. When Judge Kirby's non-filing of one or more PFD statements was reported, it retrospectively raised questions about his honesty when he applied for a vacancy on the 17th Judicial District Court contemporaneous with the May 2019 complaint being filed against him. Most recently, Judge Kirby knowingly and willfully failed to disclose having any disciplinary history or issues with PFD filings during his review by the 17th Judicial District Performance Commission and his judicial retention election in 2024.
264. Through reporting in the *Denver Gazette*, it was revealed in August 2023 that a significant number of judges throughout Colorado (including Judge Kirby) failed to file

⁵ Judge Kirby's admitted misconduct is comparable with the recently reported misconduct of U.S. District Judge Eleanor Ross, who is presently facing two House resolutions and four articles of impeachment despite receiving a similar private reprimand by the 11th Circuit Judicial Council, affirmed by a Judicial Conduct Committee of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Carrie Johnson, *Federal Judges Face Scrutiny After Alleged Misconduct in 3 States*, NPR, June 9, 2026; H.R. 1346, 119th Cong. (2026); H.R. 1351, 119th Cong. (2026); Comm. on Jud. Conduct and Disability of the Judicial Conf. of the U.S., Memorandum of Decision, C.C.D. No. 26-01, May 22, 2026 available at <https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/document/c.c.d.-no.-26-01-may-22-2026.pdf>.

the required personal financial disclosure statements. David Migoya, *One in Six Judges Lack Financial Disclosures: Little Enforcement Even as a Misdemeanor Charge*, DENVER GAZETTE, August 13, 2023. After retaliating against the Plaintiff for his having sought uniform accountability for the many judges who objectively committed misdemeanor crimes by willfully failing to file their personal financial disclosure statements, the CCJD quietly made all of the complaints go away—either through dismissals or private discipline. Compare David Migoya, *Follow-Up: 73 Judges Under Investigation for Violating State Law*, DENVER GAZETTE, January 13, 2024 with Colo. Comm’n. Jud. Discipline, 2024 ANNUAL REPORT, p. 16 (“The Commission initiated disciplinary proceedings against 48 active judges identified in the Denver Gazette article. Of those, 21 judges ultimately received a dismissal, 15 judges received a dismissal with an expression of concern pursuant to Colo. RJD 35(a), 11 judges received a private reprimand, and one judge received a private censure.”).

265. It should be noted that the Plaintiff had sent COJPE Executive Director Kent Wagner an anonymous letter on July 2, 2024 requesting an evaluation of all judges subject to retention elections reported by the *Denver Gazette* as having failed to file their annual personal financial disclosure statements, as required by § 24-6-202, C.R.S. and Canon Rules 1.1, 1.2, 2.5, and 3.15. Plaintiff’s request for evaluation through the COJPE was ignored, as was Plaintiff’s much more detailed anonymous request for evaluation (RFE) of judicial conduct submitted to the CCJD and the COJPE on October 20, 2024 (while the 2024 general election was in progress). See Brandon Richard, *116 Judges in Colorado are Standing for Retention in the November 2024 Election: Recent Election Data Shows Many Coloradoans are Likely to Skip Section of Ballot Dealing with*

Judicial Retention, Denver7, October 29, 2024 (Kent Wagner: “I think it’s important to feel like you’re participating in the process. *We evaluate [judges] on an ongoing basis.*”) (Emphasis added).

266. Of the 116 judges who were on the November 2024 ballot, not a single evaluation by the COJPE, the State Commission, and/or any of the District-level Commissions addressed the willful non-filing of PFD statements, as reported by the *Denver Gazette*. Now, in 2026, the following fifteen judges listed in the 2023 *Denver Gazette* article are subject to retention:

- N. Anthony Baca (6th Judicial District)
- Inga H. Causey (5th Judicial District)
- Jay A. Edwards (9th Judicial District)
- Pierce L. Fowler (3rd Judicial District)
- Rebecca Freyre (Court of Appeals)
- William Furse (22nd Judicial District)
- Brian Green (11th Judicial District)
- Elizabeth L. Harris (Court of Appeals)
- Amanda C. Hopkins (12th Judicial District)
- Priscilla Loew (17th Judicial District)
- James R. McDonald (7th Judicial District)
- Bruce Raaum (21st Judicial District)
- Tamara L. Sullivan (12th Judicial District)
- Sarah B. Wallace (2nd Judicial District)
- Gregory R. Werner (4th Judicial District)

267. Beyond the unevaluated past failures of judges to file their PFD statements, numerous judges subject to retention in 2026 are directly involved in the Colorado Judicial Scandal, as described in the Plaintiff’s case filings and well-developed legislative record. These judges include, but are not limited to:

- Michelle A. Amico (18th Judicial District)
- William B. Bain (4th Judicial District)
- Christopher J. Baumann (2nd Judicial District)
- Eric J. Eliff (2nd Judicial District)
- Rebecca Freyre (Court of Appeals)

- Sara Garrido (1st Judicial District)
- Elizabeth L. Harris (Court of Appeals)
- William Hood, III (Colorado Supreme Court)
- Gretchen Larson (21st Judicial District)
- Kerri Lombardi (Denver County Court)
- Pax Moultrie (Court of Appeals)
- Jon J. Olafson (2nd Judicial District)
- Meredith Patrick Cord (4th Judicial District)
- Grant Sullivan (Court of Appeals)
- Mariana Vielma (17th Judicial District)
- Sarah B. Wallace (2nd Judicial District)

268. There is no indication that the COJPE, the State Commission, or any of the District-level Commissions will, without this Court's intervention, agree to perform their individual or collective duties to meaningfully evaluate the integrity of either judges subject to retention in 2026 or any of the other judges subject to the Commissions' overall jurisdiction. On the contrary, Executive Director Kent Wagner has continued to ignore Plaintiff's repeated requests for the immediate evaluation of all judges to verify their integrity, as mandated by § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. A copy of Plaintiff's correspondence with Executive Director Wagner since April 10, 2026 is attached as Exhibit 1. It is unclear whether Executive Director Wagner conveyed the documents and other materials Plaintiff has provided since 2024 to the State Commission, the District-level Commissions, and/or to the individual Commissioners. The filing of this Verified Complaint ensures that all the individual Commissioners now have actual knowledge of Plaintiff's allegations of systemic judicial, attorney, and official misconduct.

269. The relief requested in this Verified Complaint is further relevant to obstruction that the Plaintiff has experienced in bringing *Ex rel. Gregory, Gregory, and Gregory v. Hunter*. In all those cases, Plaintiff is being denied his fundamental right to be heard

with the respective assigned judges excusing the Defendants from answering the merits of the respective complaints, limiting public access to court filings, and staying all formal discovery.

270. The nominations of U.S. District Court Judge Daniel D. Domenico to the Tenth Circuit and of Todd Blanche to become the permanent U.S. Attorney General are currently pending before the U.S. Senate. The U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee, however, is failing to scrutinize Acting U.S. Attorney General Blanche's personal refusal to investigate the federal *qui tam* claims pled in *Ex rel. Gregory* and to even allow the customary initial relator interview. *Contra* 31 U.S.C. § 3730(a) (“**Responsibilities of the Attorney General.**—The Attorney General diligently shall investigate a violation under section 3729.”). The U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee is also failing to question Chief Judge Domenico as to his concealment of Plaintiff's “Affidavit[s] of Judicial Bias or Prejudice According to 28 U.S.C. § 144 and Certificate of Counsel; Exhibits 1-11,” which mandated then-District Judge Domenico's recusal from *Ex rel. Gregory* and from *Gregory*. The U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee is further failing to consider the judicial and/or attorney misconduct complaints that Plaintiff has filed in every federal circuit to address the underlying Colorado Judicial Scandal. Defendants' dereliction of their duties to evaluate the “integrity” of all Colorado state court judges is compounding the concealment of material information from the U.S. Senators who will ultimately vote on the nominations of Chief Judge Domenico and Acting U.S. Attorney General Blanche.
271. As defendants in *Gregory* and *Gregory v. Hunter*, Governor Jared Polis, Attorney General Phil Weiser, all of the Justices of the Colorado Supreme Court, the members

and staff of the CCJD, the members of the Colorado Judicial Discipline Adjudicative Board (the CJDAB), the members of the Colorado Judicial Discipline Rulemaking Committee (the CJDRC), State Auditor Kerri Hunter, and other public officials are personally aware of Plaintiff's allegations of systemic judicial, attorney, and official misconduct. Nevertheless, every individual with knowledge is complicit in Attorney General Weiser's continuing efforts to unconstitutionally seek court orders recognizing Plaintiff's allegations of misconduct as "confidential." The complicity of judges in this methodical censorship and violation of Plaintiff's civil rights creates appearances of impropriety and is actual impropriety under the Code, as further defined by Canon Rule 1.2 and its comments.

272. § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S. provides that whenever the Colorado Attorney General is unable, has failed, or refuses to provide legal services to a state agency, as determined by the governor if the agency is in the Executive Branch, or by the Chief Justice if the agency is in the Judicial Branch, or by the State Auditor if the agency is the Office of the State Auditor, the agency may employ counsel of its choosing to provide such legal services. Because Chief Justice Monica Márquez is alleged to have engaged in the underlying ethical and criminal misconduct that Plaintiff seeks to have Defendants' evaluate, Chief Justice Márquez cannot determine the need for a SAAG under § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S. *Cf.* Colo. Const. Art. VI, § 23(3)(3)(f)(II) (requiring formation of special tribunal whenever judicial discipline proceedings involve a Colorado Supreme Court justice as a subject judge, complainant, or material witness); Colo. RJD 41 (similarly providing for recusal and formation of special tribunal including in circumstances involving former justices); Colo. RJD 2 (broadly defining "proceedings")

as: “**Proceedings**” means informal or formal proceedings, including, but not limited to, consideration of a request for evaluation of judicial conduct; the investigation of a complaint; a meeting or hearing of or with the Commission, its staff, special counsel, or special masters; a disciplinary disposition; a disciplinary sanction; a disability disposition; or a communication with respect thereto.”). Through *Gregory*, Attorney General Philip Weiser is alleged to have personally engaged in various fraudulent activities and crimes in concert with the other individual-capacity defendants who include the Justices of the Colorado Supreme Court. *See People ex re. Salazar v. Davidson*, 79 P.3d 1221, 1231 (Colo. 2003) (recognizing that a government attorney’s client is the government as an institution, not an individual agency or officer); Colo. RPC 1.7(a)(2) (prohibiting concurrent representation where “there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by . . . a personal interest of the lawyer”). Additionally, Attorney General Weiser’s alleged violations of the Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct are at issue in the attorney misconduct complaint that Plaintiff has filed against Attorney General Weiser in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, where Attorney General Weiser is admitted to practice. *Gregory*, ECF Nos. 1-1 and 1-4 through 1-11; F.R.A.P. 46 (authorizing attorney discipline for “conduct unbecoming a member of the bar”). If Attorney General Weiser or any of the attorneys in his Office who he supervises proceed to represent any of the Defendants in the present action, then such representation will create unmistakable appearances of impropriety and irreparably harm the public’s confidence in the judiciary. In the present action, Plaintiff asserts that there are ethical conflict of interests under Colo. RPC 1.7(a)(2), Colo. RPC

1.11(d)(1), Colo. RPC 8.3, and Colo. RPC 8.4(a-1), (b), (c), (d), (f), (h) that prevent the Attorney General from providing legal services necessary for the COJPE, the Judicial Performance Commissions, and the individual Commissioners to perform their own mandatory functions under § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. Consequently, the Defendants' individual and/or collective obligations to appoint a SAAG are, likewise, a mandatory and ministerial function subject to enforcement through relief in the form of mandamus and/or through this Court's inherent authority to grant injunctive relief.

First Claim for Relief

Relief in the Form of Mandamus

(§ 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S.; § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S.; C.R.C.P. 106)

273. The allegations of the preceding paragraphs are realleged as if fully set forth below.

274. Plaintiff has a clear and indisputable right to the relief sought, as Plaintiff is entitled under Colorado law to require that the Defendants perform their individually and collectively mandated duties to continuously evaluate whether each and every Colorado state court judge possesses the necessary integrity to hold their respective offices. *See* § 13-5.5-108(1), C.R.S. (requiring judicial performance evaluations for all judges or judges potentially subject to a retention election); § 13-5.5-109, C.R.S. (authorizing the performance commissions discretion in determining when to complete initial and interim judicial performance evaluations; implying authority to conduct such evaluations whenever circumstances raise concerns about a subject judge or justice's fitness). The Defendants, collectively and individually, have a clear, non-discretionary, duty to act as § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. mandates the continuous evaluation of judicial integrity. This Court has authority to compel the Defendants to perform their mandated functions and obligations according to C.R.C.P. 106(a)(2).

275. Plaintiff also has a clear and indisputable right to the relief sought, as Plaintiff is entitled under Colorado law to have the Defendants appoint a Special Assistant Attorney General (SAAG) when the Attorney General is unable, has failed, or refuses to provide legal services due to conflicts of interest. Plaintiff requests the appointment of a SAAG to ensure impartial representation of the State of Colorado and to avoid further appearances of impropriety that will irreparably harm the public's confidence in the judiciary.
276. The Defendants have a clear, non-discretionary, and ministerial duty to act, as § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S. mandates that a state agency and public officials may appoint counsel of their choosing when the Attorney General is unable to provide legal services, and the circumstances alleged—including ethical conflicts—render the Attorney General unable to provide such services. *See People v. Stevens*, 642 P.2d 39, 41 (Colo. 1981) (trial court erred by denying defendant's request for appointment of special prosecutor where defendant's attorney withdrew to become employee of the prosecuting district attorney's office; finding that the creation of appearances of impropriety was sufficient to require disqualification of entire district attorney's office).
277. Plaintiff has no other adequate means to attain the appropriate relief, to which he is entitled. No other remedy exists to compel the Defendants to perform their statutorily mandated duty to evaluate judicial integrity according to § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S. Further, no other remedy exists to compel the appointment of a conflict-free SAAG to represent the Defendants in this action.

278. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff seeks an order in the nature of mandamus directing the Defendants to perform their mandated function to continuously evaluate the integrity of each and every Colorado state court judge (whether through regular, initial, or interim judicial performance evaluations). If necessary, Plaintiff seeks a second order directing Defendants to appoint a conflict-free SAAG in this action and for such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Second Claim for Relief
Relief in the Form of Prohibition
(§ 24-31-1204, C.R.S.; C.R.C.P. 106)

279. The allegations of the preceding paragraphs are realleged as if fully set forth below.

280. Defendants are governmental officers and agencies exercising quasi-judicial functions in determining the contents of their mandated judicial evaluation reports. *See generally U.S. West Communications, Inc. v. City of Longmont*, 924 P.2d 1071, 1083 (Colo. App. 1995) (distinguishing legislative and quasi-judicial functions in context of governmental action and C.R.C.P. 106(a)(4) oversight).

281. Defendants' exercise of quasi-judicial functions further includes their prospective and mandatory issuance of judicial evaluation reports on August 4, 2026. *See* § 13-5.5-108, C.R.S. (defining the timeline and process followed by the Judicial Performance Commissions in retention election years; also defining the required contents of the judicial evaluation reports). If those judicial evaluation reports do not include legitimate examination of the subject judges' "integrity" according to § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S., however, then the Defendants will have failed to perform mandated functions, and will have been derelict in their duties. Incomplete and/or misleading judicial evaluation reports risk confusing voters and will cause irreparable harm that

includes a loss of public confidence in the judiciary. *See, e.g., Matter of Stanley*, 2025 CO 51, ¶ 137 (Samour, J. dissenting) (“We live in a cynical world in which parts of the public are skeptical of judges, which means that the perception of impartiality and fairness is more important than ever — certainly, as important as impartiality and fairness themselves. As judges, and as the guardians charged with protecting the rule of law, we cannot allow our actions to adversely affect the public's confidence in our courts.”).

282. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy otherwise provided by law, as no other process exists to prevent the Defendants from acting in excess of their lawful authority and obligations to inform Colorado voters whether each and every Colorado state court judge possesses the necessary integrity to hold his or her office.
283. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff seeks an order prohibiting Defendants from publishing judicial evaluation reports until the Defendants have demonstrated to this Court that such judicial evaluation reports meaningfully examine the subject judges’ “integrity,” as mandated by § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, pursuant to C.R.C.P. 106, the Plaintiff prays that this Court:


1. Issue an order in the nature of mandamus directing all the named Defendants to immediately (as part of regular, initial, or interim proceedings) evaluate each and every judge subject to their jurisdictional authority for “integrity” as mandated by § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S.
2. Issue an order in the nature of mandamus or, alternatively an injunction, directing all the named Defendants, in their official capacities as state agencies and/or appointed Commissioners and public servants, to appoint a conflict-free Special Assistant Attorney General as authorized according to § 24-31-111(5), C.R.S. to represent them and the interests of the State of Colorado in this action. Plaintiff recognizes that such relief may be moot, depending upon how Defendants respond to this action and which attorneys ultimately enter appearances on the Defendants’ behalf.

3. Issue an order in the nature of prohibition enjoining the Defendants from prospectively publishing any judicial evaluation reports which do not meaningfully examine the subject judges' or justices' "integrity" as required by § 13-5.5-107(1)(a), C.R.S.
4. Award Plaintiff Christopher S.P. Gregory such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

VERIFICATION

I, Christopher S.P. Gregory, under the penalty of perjury, certifies that the factual statements contained in this Verified Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated this 22nd day of July, 2026.


Christopher S.P. Gregory

Dated this 22nd day of July, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher S.P. Gregory
Christopher S.P. Gregory, Reg. # 37095
Pro Se Attorney for
Christopher S.P. Gregory

/s/ Ingrid J. DeFranco
Ingrid J. DeFranco, Reg. # 31206
Attorney for Christopher S.P. Gregory